SINGLE BENCH (4)

CF/100/

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH AT

BILASPUR

W.P. (S) NO. 4394 / 2006

Petitioner : M.R.Ahire
S/o Shri D.R.Ahire,
Aged about 38 years,
Occupation – S.D.O.P.
R/o Chhotedonger,
Police District – Narayanpur,
Dist : Bastar-(C.G.),

P.R. No. 44424/6
Presented by Shri Arun Singh
dated 14/3/06

Versus

Respondents : 1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through – The Upper Chief
Secretary Department of Home
Affairs, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur,
Dist : Raipur-(C.G.)

2. The Director General of Police
Police Headquarter, Raipur,
Dist : Raipur(C.G.) b

3. The Superintendent of Police,
Police, Dist : Narayanpur. b
Dist : Bastar-(C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226 / 227 OF THE

CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(29)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP(C) No. 4394 सन् 2006

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक और क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

21-8-2006

Mr. Arun Shukla, counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumesh Bajaj, Deputy Government Advocate for the State/ respondents, on advance copy.

Heard both the counsel.

By the instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has questioned his transfer order dated 5-8-2006 passed by respondent No.1, whereby he has been transferred from Raipur to Bijapur.

Main grievance of the petitioner is that he has already served more than five years in the Naxalite area and the transfer has been made against the policy of the State Government. The petitioner has made representation Annexure P-6 to respondent No.2, but nothing has been done in his case.

In the circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.1 and respondent No.1 be directed to decide the same to which learned counsel appearing for the State/respondents has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances, annexing a copy of this writ petition and its annexures to respondent No.1 within 10 days from today and in turn, respondent No.1 is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner within a

P.T.O.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Soma	period of 30 days from the date of its receipt, after considering the objections raised by the petitioner in an objective manner, in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.3409/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C.Bhadoo Judge	

P.T.O.